

Multiple gene dysfunctions lead to high cancer-susceptibility: evidences from a whole-exome sequencing study

Soniya priyadharishni.A.K, Dr.M.Sridhar, Dr.M.Rajani

Abstract— A total of \$275 million has been launched to The Cancer Genome Atlas Project for genomic mapping of more than 20 types of cancers. The major challenge is to develop high throughput and cost-effective techniques for human genome sequencing. We developed a targeted exome sequencing technology to routinely determine human exome sequence. As a proof-of-concept, we chose a unique patient, who underwent three high mortalities cancers, i.e., breast, gallbladder and lung cancers, to reveal the genetic cause of high-cancer-susceptibility. Total 24,545 SNPs were detected. 10,868 (44.27%) SNPs were within coding regions, and 1,077 (4.38%) located in the UTRs. 3367 genes were hit by 4480 non-synonymous mutations in CDS with truncation of 30 proteins; and 10 mutations occurred at the splice sites that would generate different protein isoforms. Substitutions or premature terminations occurred in 132 proteins encoded by cancer-associated genes. CARD8 was completely loss; ANAPC1 was pre-translationally terminated from the transcripts of one allele. On the Ras-MAPK pathway, 18 genes were homozygously mutated. 15 growth factors/cytokines and their receptors, 9 transcription factors, 6 proteins on WNT signaling pathway, and 16 cell surface and extracellular proteins may be dysfunctional. Exome sequencing made it possible for individualized cancer therapy.

Index Terms— ANAPC1, CARD8, Exome, Ras-MAPK pathway, SNP, UTRs, WNT Signaling pathway.

1 INTRODUCTION

It has always been bothering physicians to choose correct drugs as the anticancer effects are completely different among patients. This is caused by not only the multiple genetic mutations in human cancers but also a wide variety of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of individuals. Mutations in exons, such as mutations on H-RAS, p53 and APC genes, are often found to cause human cancers. Up to date, 73 genes with germline mutations and 412 genes with germline or somatic alterations, including amplification, deletion, rearrangement and point mutations, have been shown to be involved in human cancers in the Cancer Gene Census of Cancer Genome Project database (CGC/CGP). In the Atlas of Genetics and Cytogenetics Oncology and Haematology (AGCOH) database, there are 766 annotated genes that are genetically associated with cancers and other 3,000 other genes are functionally involved in the process of cancer development. Although a great advance has been achieved for early diagnosis of human cancers and anticancer drug development, the mobility of cancer cases is increasing while the average mortality almost remains consistent in the last decades. The random use of anticancer drugs largely neutralized the attempts of anticancer treatment; and cancer is still the second killer of human diseases. Therefore, it is urgently needed to develop genome-based individualized cancer therapy and care.

It is well known that the whole exome constitute only about 1% of the human genome but harbor the major of mutations contribute to cancer development. Therefore, combined with bioinformatics analysis, targeted exome sequencing technology would be a good and practical strategy to largely reduce the cost and labor load. It would also have a great potential to expand our knowledge of rare mutations in cancer development and to accelerate the functional studies of cancer-associated genes. Using high susceptibility of cancers as proof-

of-concept, we observed that 132 genes, which have been shown to be important for cancer development, dysfunctional or functionally alternated. Of them, only 11 genes were germline-mutated according to CGC/CGP database; while the mutations of other 121 genes were newly identified in germline in cancer patient.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

A very unique cancer patient, a Chinese woman (YH2), was recruited in this study. She underwent breast cancer, gallbladder adenocarcinoma and lung cancer at 41, 63 and 66, respectively. She died of recurrence of gallbladder adenocarcinoma in liver at 68. The tumors were removed by surgery at the diagnosis and tumor types were determined by histochemistry assays after surgery. There was no family history of cancers. Informed consent was obtained from the patient for this study, and the study was approved by the ethic committee of The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Exome sequencing

The strategy for exome sequencing was similar as described by Ng et al. In brief, shotgun libraries were generated from 10 µg of blood leukocytes purified genomic DNA (gDNA) using the standard Illumina protocols. The fragments of size 150-200 bp were isolated after electrophoresis on 6% PAGE and hybridized with NimbleGen 2.1M-probe sequence capture array, in which oligos were fixed to cover the human exomes (RefSeq, NCBI 36.3, 33.92 Mb). The captured exomes were applied for direct single-end sequencing on an Illumina Genome Analyzer II. The average read for each probe is 75 bases. Sequences were then aligned to the reference (RefSeq, hg18, 19 and YH1) using SOAP aligner, and the mapped bases, depth, coverage and the base distribution were analyzed.

Substitution detection

SNPs were called by SOAPsnp based on the alignments with HapMap database. For each site within the exome targeted region, only copy number <1.5 of the surrounding area was allowed and the depth should range from 10X to 200X. Finally, a Q20 threshold was used to filter unreliable SNPs. After excluding known substitutions from the potential mutations available, the SNPs were annotated and the genes involved in cancer development were revealed by comparison of our data with CGC/CGP and the AGCOH database.

Insertion and deletion detection

For the single reads we produced, the short in-dels <4 bp were also identified by SOAPaligner2 in a gap tolerable mode. Local alignments were performed with our custom perl scripts.

3 RESULTS

Exome sequences

Our sequencing strategy was similar to the one published by Ng et al recently but with a larger coverage (33.92 instead of 26.6 megabases). The average sequencing depth was 21.1 (Figure 1). The total reads were about 1.97 Gagabases (GBs) which covered 97.36% of the reference. With SOAPaligner software, 87.92% of bases were aligned to the reference (build 131,10/03/26, hg18 and hg19) and YH genome sequence. The mismatch rate was 0.65%, indicating the data was in high sequencing quality. We detected total 24,545 SNPs. Among them, 10,874 (44.3%) SNPs located in the coding regions and 142 (0.6%) SNPs located in the UTRs. There were 23,604 SNPs were shared among YH1 and dbSNPs, while 941 SNPs were newly identified in the patient after comparative analysis of SNPs in the captured exome. Among them, 8091 SNPs (42.81%) were homozygous. 3058 genes were hit by 4480 non-synonymous mutations in the coding sequences (CDS). 10 mutations displayed at splice sites, and 8 small in/dels were identified.




Fig: 1 Targeted capture exome sequencing. A. Chromosome depth and GC distribution in targeted capture exome regions. X axis stands for each chromosome, Y1 axis presents the sequencing depth and YH2 axis is the GC proportion in exon capture region of each chromosome.

Nonsense mutations

We detected 33 nonsense mutations that caused truncation of 30 proteins (Table 1). We found only 3 proteins (PTPN11,

MAGEE2 and IL17RB) have been recorded to have genetic associations with cancer; while 11 other cancer-associated proteins, for the first time, were observed to be mutated in the germline. Particularly, MAGEE2, which has been shown genetic association in melanoma and hepato-cellular carcinoma, was truncated at N-terminal by homozygous mutations. CARD8, a key factor for the recruitment of caspase in apoptosis pathway, was almost completely loss in the patient. ANAPC1, a key components of ana-phase promoting complex that play crucial roles in cell mitosis and protection of the integration of chromosomes from separation, truncated >70% by a heterozygous mutation at Gln465. Some important proteins on the RAS-MAPK signaling pathway, including G protein coupled receptor 1 (GRP1), tyrosine kinase (MAP2K3), and protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTPN11), also prematurely terminated.

TABLE 1: NONSENSE MUTATIONS (ST, STOP; JMML, JUVENILE MYELOMONOCYTTIC LEUKEMIA; AML, ACUTE MYELOGENOUS LEUKEMIA; MDS, MYELOYDPLASTIC SYNDROME)

Name	TYP	Mutation	Position (stop)	full length (aa)	Function	Genetic association with disease(s)
Functional associated with cancers						
ANAPC1	HET	CAG>TAG	Q465	1926	anaphase promoting complex	
GRP1	HET	CGA>TGA	R236	355	signal transduction	
ASCC3	HET	CAG>TAG	Q87	111	signal transduction	
MAP2K3	HET	CAG>TAG	Q73	318	tyrosine kinase, signal transduction	
PTPN11	HET	TAT>TAG	Y197	593	protein tyrosine phosphatases	JMML, AML, MDS
MAGEE2	HOM	GAG>TAG	E120	523	signal transduction	melanoma, HCC
CARD8	HOM	TGT>TGA	C10	432	caspase recruitment	rheumatoid arthritis
ABCA10	HET	CGA>TGA	R1322	1544	drug transport	
CYP2C18	HET	TAT>TAA	Y68	490	drug metabolism	
IL17RB	HET	CAG>TAG	Q484	502	cytokine receptor	intestinal inflammation

UBE2NL	H E T	TTA>TGA	L89	153	ubiquitin ligation
FTHL17	H E T	GAG>TAG	E148	183	ferritin heavy polypeptide- like protein
TP53RK	H E T	CGA>TGA	R152	254	TP53- regulating kinase
Others					
SPATA21	H E T	CGA>TGA	R467	470	spermatog- nesis
PZP	H E T	CAA>TAA	Q598	1483	proteinase inhibitor
UNC5CL	H E T	CAG>TAA	Q12	519	NF-kB inhibi- tor
TCTE1	H E T	CAG>TAG	Q460	502	t-complex- associated- testis- expressed 1
ASCC3	H E T	CAG>TAG	Q87	2203	RNA helicase
ZN F75D	H E T	CGA>TGA	R331	511	transcriptional factor
DKFZp54 7	H O M	TGG>TGA	W141	150	unknown
LOC1496 43	H E T	CGA>TGA	R37	98	unknown
MS4A12	H E T	CAA>TAA	Q71	267	membrane protein
OR2T5	H E T	CGA>TGA	R24	315	olfactory receptor
PZP	H E T	CAA>TAA	Q598	1483	pregnancy- zone protein
SLC6A18	H E T	TAC>TAG	Y319	628	unknown
SPATA21	H E T	CGA>TGA	R467	470	spermatog- nesis
ZN F75	H E T	CGA>TGA	R331	510	zinc finger protein
ZN F80	H E T	TAT>TAG	Y245	273	zinc finger protein

Missense mutations

Missense mutations hit over 3,000 proteins. After aligned with the CGC/CGP and AGCOH databases, we observed important substitutions (most likely causing function alterations) occurred in 132 proteins, which strongly associated with cancer development (Table 3). Among them, 45 have been recorded as somatic mutations and only 11 recorded as germline mutations in cancer patients in the CGC/CGP database. Totally 121 cancer-associated genes were newly found to display mutations in germline; some mutations would cause significant function alterations.

TABLE 2: HOMOZYGOUS MUTATION(S) IN GENES STRONGLY (EITHER GENETICALLY OR FUNCTIONALLY) ASSOCIATED WITH CARCINOGENESIS (*, HETEROZYGOUS MUTATION)

Name	FL (aa)	mutations	Name	FL (aa)	Mutations
RAS-MAPK signaling pathway			Wnt signaling pathway		
EML4	981	K283E	APC	2843	V1822D
ENPP2	865	S493P	CD97	786	R318Q
EPHA1	976	M900V	DKK2	259	R146Q
FNIP1	1166	G76C, Q648R	DKK3	350	R335G
GPR103	431	L344S	Growth factors/cytokines and their receptors/signal transducers		
GPR112	3080	T1213N,S1 540P, F1791L, I276M*, P368H*	FGFR4	802	V10I, P136L
GPR116	1346	T604M	IGF2R	2491	R1619G, N2020
GPR142	462	H132N	IL23R	629	Q3H, L310P
GPRC6A	926	P91S	MST1R	1400	Q523R(E), S1195G, R1335G(E)
GRP115	695	K541N	PPARGC 1A	798	G482S
GRP56	693	S281R	TNC	2201	V295M*, Q539R, V605I, E2008Q*
KLK4	251	S22A*, H197Q	TNFRSF 10A	468	H141R, R209T, R441K
KLK5	293	N153D	TNFRSF 17	184	N81S
KLK10	276	S50A, L149P*	TRAF3	568	M129T
KLK11	250	G17E	PLEK2	354	S217C
NIN	2046	Q1125P, G1320E	Cell cycle control		
RHOD	210	C134R	ATM	3056	N1983S
TEK	1124	I148T*, Q346P	BUB1B	1050	R349Q
Apoptosis/anti-apoptosis			Others		
CARD8	432	C10ST	ASXL1	1541	L815P
BCL2L2	194	Q133R	CDH11	796	T255M*, M275I*, S373A
OPTN	577	M98K*,	BRIP1	1249	S919P

K322E					
DNA repair/RNA synthesis			COL1A1	1464	T1075A
ERCC5	1186	G1053R, G1080R, D1104H	GOLGA5	731	A67G*, P350L
FANCA	1454	T266A, A412V*, G501S, P643A*, G809D, T1328A*	LCP1	627	K533E
DDX43	648	K625E, Q629R	LIFR	1098	D578N
ATM	3056	N1983S	MA-GEE2	523	E120ST(GAG>TA G)
BUB1B	1049	R349Q	MEN1	615	T546A
Transcription factors			NUT	1132	P22L
AFF3	1226	S538N	PDE4DI P	2346	R25L*, A167T*, R681H*, C708R, R1504Q*
CDX2	313	P293S	PMS2	862	P470S*, T485K*
GATA2	480	A146T	POU6F2	691	P191L

Homozygous mutations displayed in 58 genes that may contribute to high susceptibility of cancers in this patient. Homozygous missense mutations occurred in 18 genes on RAS-MARK pathway, including G-protein coupled receptors (GPRs), tyrosine kinases and phosphatases (Table 2). On this pathway, heterozygous mutations hit 9 other genes, including AKAP12, CBLB, MAP2K3, MAP3K7IP1, PTPN11, PTPN21, TCL1B and USP6 (Table 3). Although the proteins encoded by these genes play critical roles in cells response to extracellular signalings; however, only EML4 and NIN were recorded somatic mutations in tumors in the CGC/CGP database. The second largest group (10 genes), which were hit by homozygous mutations, were growth factors/cytokines and their receptors. Although only mutation of TNFRSF17 was shown in the intestinal T-cell lymphoma in the database, the products of these genes are important to control cell growth and immune responses to infection and other human diseases including carcinogenesis. On the Wnt signaling pathway, besides APC, homozygous mutations of CD97, DKK2 and DKK3 most likely cause significant alteration of protein functions. The genetic alterations in tumors have not yet recorded. Apart from DDX43, the other homozygously mutated genes (ATM, BUB1B, ERCC5 and FANCA) for cell cycle control and DNA/RNA process were shown genetic association with carcinogenesis (Table 2). Besides function association, the germline mutations of transcription factors (AFF3 and POU6F2) have not yet recorded. All 3 apoptotic/anti-apoptotic genes (CARD8, BCL2L2 and OPTN) were newly observed genetic alterations in cancer patients. This would enhance the somatic cells escaping from apoptosis during carcinogenesis.

TABLE 3: MUTATIONS IN THE GENES STRONGLY ASSOCIATED WITH HUMAN CANCERS

Gene	T	FL (aa)I	Muta	Somatic	Germ
------	---	----------	------	---------	------

	y		tion	line
ACSL3	H	719	L641H	prostatic cancer
ADAM12	H	1593	G48R	
ADAM8	H	823	W35R, F657L	
ADAMST5	H	929	R614H, L692P	
ADAMTS4	H	1226	S538N	
AKAP12	H	324	K118Q, K1218I	multiple cancers, anti-angiogenesis
AKR1C4	H	324	S145C*, Q250R, L311V*	
ALOX12	H	662	N322S	
ANAPC1	H	1926	Q465ST(GAC->TAC)	
APC	H	2843	V1288D	colorectal, pancreatic, desmoid, hepatoblastoma, glioma, other CNS cancers
ASNS	H	561	V210E	
ASXL1	H	1541	L815P	MDS, CMML
ATF6	A	670	A145P, P157S	leukemia, lymphoma, medulloblastoma, glioma
ATM	H	3056	N1983S	T-PLL
BCAS1	H	584	Q24K, V163A*	
BCL2A1	H	174	C19Y, N39K, G82D	
BCL9	H	1426	A218V	B-ALL, Hodgkin lymphoma, colon/breast/o

	t				vary cancer, AML, leukemia, rhabdomyosarcoma
BMPR1A	H e t	531	P2T	breast cancer	AML, leukemia, breast cancer
BRIP1	H o m	1249	S919P		
BUB1B	H o m	1049	R349Q	colorectal cancer, breast cancer	gastrointestinal neoplasia, rhabdomyosarcoma
CABC1	H e t	647	H85Q		
CARD8	H o m	432	C10st (TGT->TGA)		
CARS	H e t	879	A774T	ALCL	
CBLB	H e t	Het	N466D	AML	
CCND3	H e t	292	S259A	MM	
CD97	H o m	785	R318Q		
CDH11	H o m	795	T255M*, M275I*, S373A	aneurismal bone cys	
CDX2	H o m	313	P293S	AML	
CENPF	H o m	3113	R2729Q, R2943G, N3106K		
COL1A1	H o m	1465	T1075A		
COL1A2	H o m	1365	P549A	dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans	
DDX43	H o m	647	K625E		
DKK2	H o m	259	R146Q		
DKK3	H o m	349	R335G		gas-tric/lung/breast/prostate/ovary cancer, glioma
EML4	H o m	980	K283E		NSCLC
ENPP2	H o m	865	S493E		
EPHA1	H o m	976	V160A		
ERCC2	H e t	759	K751N		skin basal cell, melanoma, SKC,
ERCC5	H o m	1186	G1053R, G1080R, D1104H		skin basal cell, SKC, melanoma
FGFR2	H e t	820	M186T		gastric, endometrial cancer, NSCLC
FGFR4	H o m	802	V10I		
FLT3	H e t	992	T227M, D358V		AML, ALL
FNIP1	H o m	1165	G76C, Q648R		
FTHL17	H e t	183	E148st (GAG->TAG)		
FXYS5	H o m	178	S35A, R176H*		
GATA2	H o m	479	A146T		AML
GGH	H e t	317	C6R		
GOLGA5	H o m	730	A67G*, P350L		papillary thyroid
GPR1	H e t	355	R236st (CGA->TGA)		
GPR103	H o m	431	L344S		
GPR112	H o m	3080	276M*, P368H*, T1213N, S1540P,		

			F1791L	
GPR116	H	1345	T604M	
	o			
	m			
GPR142	H	462	H132N	
	o			
	m			
GPRC6A	H	925	P91S	
	o			
	m			
GRP115	H	694	K541N	
	o			
	m			
GRP56	H	692	S281R	
	o			
	m			
HTATIP2	H	276	S231R	
	o			
	m			
IGF2R	H	628	Q3H, L310P	
	o			
	m			
JAG2	H	1237	E501K	
	e			
	t			
KLK10	H	275	S50A, L149P*	
	o			
	m			
KLK4	H	250	S22A*, H179Q	
	o			
	m			
KLK5	H	292	N153D	
	o			
	m			
LCP1	H	626	K553E	NHL
	o			
	m			
LIFR	H	1097	D578N	salivary adeno- ma
	o			
	m			
LOX	H	417	R158Q	
	o			
	m			
LOXL2	H	773	M570L	
	o			
	m			
LOXL4	H	755	R154Q	
	o			
	m			
MAP2K3	H	317	Q73st (CAG- >TAG)	
	e			
	t			
MAP3K7IP1	H	503	C235W	
	e			
	t			
MEN1	H	614	T546A	Para thyroid tumors
	o			
	m			

MGC34647	H	266	Y213st (TAC- >TAG)	
	e			
	t			
MMP10	H	475	D81Y	
	e			
	t			
MMP11	H	486	A38V	
	o			
	m			
MMP17	H	602	A182T	
	o			
	m			
MMP20	H	482	K18T*, V275A,T 281N	
	o			
	m			
MMP26	H	260	K43E	
	o			
	m			
MMP27	H	512	M30V	
	o			
	m			
MMP8	H	467	K87E	
	o			
	m			
MMP9	H	706	Q279R	
	e			
	t			
MST1	H	724	R108Q, R122Q	breast cancer
	e			
	t			
MST1R	H	1399	Q523R/E, S1195G, R1135G/ E	
	o			
	m			
MTHFR	H	655	A222V	
	e			
	t			
MYEOV	H	312	V159A, R198Q, G271R	
	e			
	t			
MYH11	H	1937	N1899S	AML
	e			
	t			
MYST3	H	2003	L134S	
	e			
	t			
NBN	H	753	E185Q	
	e			
	t			
NIN	H	2045	Q1125P, G1320E	MPD
	o			
	m			
NOTCH2NL	H	235	S67P, P133L, T158I, S181R,	marginal zone lymphoma, DLBCL
	e			
	t			

			P188H	
NQO1	H e t	239	Q139W	
NSD1	H e t	2695	S726P	AML
NUP214	H e t	2090	P754S	AML
NUT	H o m	1131	P22L	lethal midline carcinoma
OPTN	H o m	576	M98K*, K322E	
P2RX7	H o m	594	Y155H*, R270H*, E496A*, N568I	
PBX1	H e t	429	G21S	Pre B-ALL
PDE4DIP	H o m	2345	R25L*, A167T*, R681H*, C708R, R1504Q*	MPD
PDGFRA	H e t		S361R, T474M, S478P	GIST, idiopathic hyperosinophilic syndrome
PLAG1	H e t	500	S443R	salivary adenoma, pleomorphic adenoma
PML	H e t	828	S722G	APL
PMS2	H o m	861	P470S*, T485K*, K541E	colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, medulloblastoma, glioma
POU6F2	H o m	691	P191L	
PPARGC1A	H o m	797	G482S	
PTPN11	H e t	592	S189A, Y197st (TAT->TAG)	JMML, AML, MDS
PTPN21	H e t	1173	L385F, V936A	
PVRL4	H e	509	F53L	

REL	H e t	618	N424S	many cancers and other disease
RHOD	H o m	210	C134R	
RHOT2	H e t	617	A88T, R245Q	
ROS1	H e t	2347	T145P	
SDC1	H o m	310	L136Q	
SELE	H e t	371	S303R	
SERPINB5	H e t	374	S176P, I319V	
SFRP4	H e t	345	P320T, R340K	
STEAP2	H o m	489	F17C*, R456Q*, M475I	
TCF3	H e t	653	P479L	pre B-ALL
TEK	H o m	1123	I148T*, Q346P	
TFEB	H e t	475	V130M	renal (childhood) epithelioid
TFRC	H e t	760	G142S	NHL
THBS4	H o m	1538	I192T, I598T, S1055G	
TMPRSS2	H e t	491	V160M	prostate
TNC	H o m	2200	V295M*, Q539R, V605I, E2008Q	glioma, lung/colon/breast cancer
TNFRSF10A	H o m	467	H141R, R209T, R441K	
TNFRSF17	H o m	183	N81S	intestinal T-cell lymphoma

TRAF3	H	568	M129T		
	o				
	m				
TSC1	H	365	M322T		
	e				
	t				
USP6	H	234	Y162H,	aneurysmal bone	
	e		W475R,	cysts	
	t		Y484H		
WISP3	H	331	Q34H,	colon cancer	hamarto ma,
	e		E100K,		renal cancer
	t		E141K		

ALCL, *anaplastic large-cell lymphoma*; ALL, *acute lymphocytic leukemia*; AML, *acute myelogenous leukemia*; APL, *acute promyelocytic leukemia*; B-ALL, *B-cell acute lymphocytic leukaemia*; CMML, *chronic myelomonocytic leukemia*; CNS, *central nervous system*; DLBL, *diffuse large B-cell lymphoma*; DLCL, *diffuse large-cell lymphoma*; GIST, *gastrointestinal stromal tumour*; JMML, *juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia*; MD5, *myelodysplastic syndrome*; MLCLS, *mediastinal large cell lymphoma with sclerosis*; MM, *multiple myeloma*; MPD, *Myeloproliferative disorder*; NHL, *non-Hodgkin lymphoma*; NSCLC, *non small cell lung cancer*; pre-B All, *pre-B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia*; SKC, *skin squamous cell*; T-PLL, *T cell prolymphocytic leukaemia*. **listed as heterozygous mutation*.

4 DISCUSSION

The Cancer Genome Atlas project is currently the central task of genome-related research. It remains largely unknown how germline mutations in global contribute to cancer-susceptibility, although it is well known some germline mutations in a special gene would cause human cancers (e.g., mutations in pRB gene leads to retinoblastoma in children). The major challenge is to develop a high throughput and cost-effective techniques for genome sequencing. Supported with extensive bioinformatic assays, a US group and us have independently developed cost-effective targeted capture exome sequencing technology to routinely reveal the genetic variations of individuals. However, to our knowledge, the whole exome sequencing on high-cancer-susceptible patient has not yet been studied. In this study, we independently developed a similar technology for the whole exome sequencing. As a pilot study, we showed that homozygous mutations of CARD8 may contribute to the high-cancer-susceptibility in a patient, who underwent three high mortality cancers (breast cancer, gallbladder cancer and lung cancer) in the last three decades. CARD8 was reported to inhibit apoptosis and caspase activation induced by Apaf-1/caspase-9-dependent stimuli; however, it was also showed to induce apoptosis in certain cells. It is unclear how the loss of CARD8 contributes the high-cancer-susceptibility in this patient. The mutations in other genes, such as genes on RAS-MARK signaling pathway, may also play important roles in high-cancer-susceptibility. However, as some mutations may neutralize or antagonize the other mutations, the exact roles of these mutations are very complicated in the patient. For example, the truncation of MAGEE2 and PTPN11 may neutralize the mutations of tyrosine kinases and GPRs. The roles of these mutations in cancer-susceptibility would be further investigated by identification of more high-

cancer-susceptibility patients or direct sequencing the tumor samples and paired germline genomes.

In summary, we developed targeted exome capture sequencing technology to characterize the whole-exome of human genome and applied to a high-cancer-susceptible patient. We showed that the truncations of CARD8, MAGEE2, ANAPC1, GPR1, ASCC3, MAP2K3 and PTPN11 be an important reasons for high-cancer-susceptibility. The non-synonymous mutations in 132 cancer-associated genes, in which most of them have not been reported as germline variations in tumors, may positively or negatively contribute to cancer development. This exome sequencing technology makes it possible for routine dissection of important genes for carcinogenesis and individualized medicine, as the total cost is just less than US\$10,000 per sample. The targeted exome capture sequencing would be a new era of individualized cancer therapy.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported in partial by Shenzhen -Hong Kong Collaborative Research Grant of Shenzhen Science and Technology Bureau (08DF-23, to ML He and Y He) and Research Grant Council, The Government of Hong Kong Special Administration Region (CUHK4428/06M, to MLHe).

6 DECLARATION

No conflicts of interest.

7 REFERENCES

- [1] Morrow PK, Hortobagyi GN. Management of breast cancer in the genome era. *Annu Rev Med.* 2009;60:153-165.
- [2] Kawashima M, Fuwa N, Myojin M, Nakamura K, Toita T, Saijo S, Hayashi N, Ohnishi H, Shikama N, Kano M, Yamamoto M. A multi-institutional survey of the effectiveness of chemotherapy combined with radiotherapy for patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2004;34:569-583.
- [3] Gutierrez ME, Kummar S, Giaccone G. Next generation oncology drug development: opportunities and challenges. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2009;6:259-265.
- [4] Taulli R, Bersani F, Foglizzo V, Linari A, Vigna E, Ladanyi M, Tuschl T, Porzetto C. The muscle-specific microRNA miR-206 blocks human rhabdomyosarcoma growth in xenotransplanted mice by promoting myogenic differentiation. *J Clin Invest.* 2009;119:2366-2378.
- [5] Taylor BC, Yuan JM, Shamliyan TA, Shaikat A, Kane RL, Wilt TJ. Clinical outcomes in adults with chronic hepatitis B in association with patient and viral characteristics: A systematic review of evidence. *Hepatology.* 2009;49:S85-95.
- [6] Diller L, Chow EJ, Gurney JG, Hudson MM, Kadin-Lottick NS, Kawashima TI, Leisenring WM, Meacham LR, Mertens AC, Mulrooney DA, Oeffinger KC, Packer RJ, Robison LL, Sklar CA. Chronic disease in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort: a review of published findings. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:2339-2355.
- [7] Ng SB, Turner EH, Robertson PD, Flygare SD, Bigham AW, Lee C, Shaffer T, Wong M, Bhattacharjee A, Eichler EE, Bamshad M, Nickerson DA, Shendure J. Targeted capture and massively parallel sequencing of 12 human exomes. *Nature.* 2009;461:272-276.
- [8] Wang J, Wang W, Li R, Li Y, Tian G, Goodman L, Fan W, Zhang J, Li J, Zhang J, Guo Y, Feng B, Li H, Lu Y, Fang X, Liang H, Du Z, Li D, Zhao Y, Hu Y, Yang Z,

Zheng H, Hellmann I, Inouye M, Pool J, Yi X, Zhao J, Duan J, Zhou Y, Qin J, Ma L, Li G, Yang Z, Zhang G, Yang B, Yu C, Liang F, Li W, Li S, Li D, Ni P, Ruan J, Li Q, Zhu H, Liu D, Lu Z, Li N, Guo G, Zhang J, Ye J, Fang L, Hao Q, Chen Q, Liang Y, Su Y, San A, Ping C, Yang S, Chen F, Li L, Zhou K, Zheng H, Ren Y, Yang L, Gao Y, Yang G, Li Z, Feng X, Kristiansen K, Wong GK, Nielsen R, Durbin R, Bolund L, Zhang X, Li S, Yang H, Wang J. The diploid genome sequence of an Asian individual. *Nature*. 2008;456:60–65.

[9] Schaefer A, Jung M, Kristiansen G, Lein M, Schrader M, Miller K, Erbersdobler A, Stephan C, Jung K. [MicroRNA in uro-oncology : New hope for the diagnosis and treatment of tumors?] *Urologe A*. 2009

[10] Mutesa L, Pierquin G, Janin N, Segers K, Thomee C, Provenzi M, Bours V. Germline PTPN11 missense mutation in a case of Noonan syndrome associated with mediastinal and retroperitoneal neuroblastic tumors. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 2008;182:40–42.

[11] Chomez P, De Backer O, Bertrand M, De Plaen E, Boon T, Lucas S. An overview of the MAGE gene family with the identification of all human members of the family. *Cancer Res*. 2001;61:5544–5551.

[12] Razmara M, Srinivasula SM, Wang L, Poyet JL, Geddes BJ, DiStefano PS, Bertin J, Alnemri ES. CARD-8 protein, a new CARD family member that regulates caspase-1 activation and apoptosis. *J Biol Chem*. 2002;277:13952–13958.

[13] Heichman KA, Roberts JM. The yeast CDC16 and CDC27 genes restrict DNA replication to once per cell cycle. *Cell*. 1996;85:39–48.

[14] Tugendreich S, Tomkiel J, Earnshaw W, Hieter P. CDC27HS colocalizes with CDC16HS to the centrosome and mitotic spindle and is essential for the metaphase to anaphase transition. *Cell*. 1995;81:261–268.

[15] Ahuja A, Ying M, Evans R, King W, Metreweli C. The application of ultrasound criteria for malignancy in differentiating tuberculous cervical adenitis from metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin Radiol*. 1995;50:391–395.

[16] Jorgensen PM, Brundell E, Starborg M, Hoog C. A subunit of the anaphase-promoting complex is a centromere-associated protein in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1998;18:468–476.

[17] Rosenbaum DM, Rasmussen SC, Kobilka BK. The structure and function of G-protein-coupled receptors. *Nature*. 2009;459:356–363.

[18] De Meyts P, Gauguin L, Svendsen AM, Sarhan M, Knudsen L, Nohr J, Kiselyov VV. Structural basis of allosteric ligand-receptor interactions in the insulin/relaxin peptide family: implications for other receptor tyrosine kinases and G-protein-coupled receptors. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2009;1160:45–53.

[19] Pathan N, Marusawa H, Krajewska M, Matsuzawa S, Kim H, Okada K, Tonii S, Kitada S, Krajewski S, Welsh K, Pio F, Godzik A, Reed JC. TUCAN, a antiapoptotic caspase-associated recruitment domain family protein overexpressed in cancer. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276:32220–32229.

-
- Soniyapriyadhari shmi.A.K. is currently pursuing Ph.D program in Bioinformatics in Bharath University, India,
 - Dr.M.Sridhar,D.Sc.,A.Sc., is currently the Director A&P in Bharath University, India
 - Dr.M.Rajani,D.Sc.,is the Director R&D in Bharath University, India.